## MOODY'S INVESTORS SERVICE

## New Issue: General Obligation Bonds, '93

Global Credit Research - 07 Jan 1993

REPORT DATE: January 7, 1993

COLCHESTER, CONNECTICUT

NEW ISSUE UPDATE: General Obligation/Special Tax

\_\_\_\_\_

MOODY'S RATING: A

----- KEY FACTS -----General Obligation Bonds Outstanding: \$39,848,000 Debt Burden: 5.8%/[1]3.5% Payout, Ten Years: 57.1% F.V. per Capita, 1992: \$65,662 Population Change, 1980-90: 41.5% Town per Capita Income as % of State 84.9% per Capita Income, 1990: Fund Balance as % of General Fund Revenues, FY 1990: 6.0% FY 1991: 1.0% FY 1992: [2]4.1% State Aid as % of Revenues, FY 1991: 39.4% Unemployment, 9/92, Town: 7.6% State: 7.2% Moody's Ratings, General Obligation Bonds Dated 12/15/87, 3/15/83, and 9/15/91, MBIA: Aaa 3/15/89 and 6/15/90, AMBAC: Aaa 9/15/88, Insurance Maturity, 1999-2008, and 4/1/92, FGIC: Aaa

[1]After state aid.

[2]From preliminary draft of 1992 audit.

----- ANALYSIS ------Most of the current proceeds (\$8.2 million) represent the third and final phase of borrowing for construction of a new high school. The balance of \$600,000 will finance road improvements and a new municipal swimming pool. Officials anticipate no additional borrowing plans at this time. Effective July 1, 1990, the Borough of Colchester, which had been a separate municipal entity, was consolidated with the town. The town assumed the contractual obligations of the borough's debt as specified under Connecticut General Statutes 7-198. Officials report all of the former borough's debt assumed by the town are FmHA loans and are self-supporting with water fees and sewer assessments.

A conservative fiscal 1992 budget has contributed to favorable year-end operating results, restoring General Fund balance to an adequate level. This follows two fiscal years where lower-than-anticipated revenue estimates resulted in a decline in General Fund balance and a weakening of financial position. Operations for fiscal 1993 are expected to produce balanced results, maintaining adequate fiscal margins. Property taxes are the primary source of revenue, and collections are adequate. The financial impact of recent borrowings should be mitigated by significant state reimbursement for debt service costs. The town has received approved grants for about 68% of school borrowing costs on the ongoing school project. Debt service expenditures net school construction grants receivable will claim 12 to 14% of operating expenditures over the next two years.

Town-wide residential expansion contributed to significant tax base growth in the 1980s. Population increased 41.5% from 1980 to 1990. Although the pace of the expansion has slowed with the recession, the town's strategic location and availability of land should spur growth in a stronger economy. A moratorium on water hookups from 1988 to 1991 delayed some commercial projects. Residential growth was not greatly affected by the moratorium because many homes use private wells. The local economy consists of light manufacturing, some agriculture, and service oriented businesses. The local impact of proposed layoffs at Electric Boat's submarine manufacturing facility in Groton cannot be determined at this time. Many residents work in the Norwich, Hartford, Groton/New London areas. Wealth and housing indices are below state norms, and per capita income remains below the state's 1990 figure.

Security: General obligation, unlimited tax.

Date of Bonds: January 15, 1992.

Use of Proceeds: To fund BANs and provide new money primarily for the final phase of a school construction project, as well as road repairs and a municipal swimming pool.

Key Contacts:

Financial Officer: Margaret G. Wasicki, Finance Director, (203) 537-7225. Financial Advisor: Teri Larsen, Fleet Bank, Hartford, (203) 244-5731. Auditor: Scully & Wolf, Glastonbury (FY 1991), (203) 659-0575. Bond Counsel: Day, Berry & Howard, Hartford, (203) 275-0100.

----- RATING HISTORY -----April 1978: Α February 1978: [1]Withdrawn September 1970: Α November 1968: [1]Withdrawn June 1961: Α [1] Insufficient information. ----- ANALYST -----Mark R. Neggers (212) 553-0011 DEBT FACTORS -----Debt Statement as of 12/18/92 (\$000) Amount \_\_\_\_\_ Bonded debt outstanding \$31,029

Current offering (1/5/93)	8,819
Gross bonded debt	\$39,848
BANs (due 1/93)	3,110
Farmers Home Loan[1]	3,509
Gross direct debt Less:	\$46,467
BANs funded with this issue	3,110
Self-supporting water bonds	1,424
Direct net debt	\$41,933
Overlapping debt	0
Overall net debt	\$41,933
After state aid[2]	\$25,489

[1]Includes \$2,510,000 of bonds issued by the former Borough of Colchester.

[2]State school building grants on principal of outstanding and currently offered school bonds total \$16,444,418.

For additional information please refer to Moody's 1992 Municipal and Government Manual, page 2162.

Defaults: No record found.

Debt Ratios

Net	Per	Median[1]	۶	Median
Debt	Capita		F.V.	(%)[1]
Direct Overall [2]	\$3,819 3,819 2,321	\$ 555 1,071	5.8 5.8 3.5	1.3 2.7

\_\_\_\_\_

[1]Moody's 1991 medians for cities with population from 10,000 to 24,999. [2]Overall, after state aid.

Rate of Retirement[1]

Principal	Amount	% of
Amount Due	(\$000)	Total
In 5 years	\$12,505	28.8
In 10 years	24,753	57.1

[1]Includes FmHA loans.

Structure: Slightly above average rate of bond principal retirement.

CIP/Future Borrowing: No plans at this time.

----- ADMINISTRATIVE FACTORS ------

Form of Government: Town meeting; three-member board of selectmen.

Public Employees: 305, includes 225 board of education employees; majority of all contracts in place through 1993 or 1994; however, town clerical contract is still under negotiation. This is the first contract for town clerical.

Pensions: Teachers participate in State Teachers' Retirement Plan.

Legislation: As of July 1, 1990, the Borough of Colchester, which is located within the center of the town's land area, consolidated with the town forming one governmental unit.

School District Data:

Trend of Enrollment: Projected to continue increasing through 1995; current enrollment 1,938; elementary grade enrollments have been increasing steadily since 1982.

Capacity: Currently 1,890; less portable classes operating at 102.5% of total plant capacity; after construction of new high school, plant capacity will increase to 2,795; restructuring will permit more efficient use of all school buildings. School is expected to open for fall 1993. PROPERTY VALUATION AND TAX DATA -----

Colchester (Town)

			Tax Rate/		% Col	lected
Fiscal	A.V.	60	\$1,000	Levy		
Year	(000)	Change	A.V.	(000)	Current	Total[2]
				\$		
1990	\$228 <b>,</b> 232	11.3	\$35.31	8,194	94.0	98.4
1991	244,118	7.0	[1]37.81	9,188	93.6	97.0
1992	250,378	2.6	39.34	9,839	93.5	94.8
1993	486,750	[3]	21.83	10,555	In process	In process

[1]Represents the mill rate for the consolidated Town and Borough of Colchester effective July 1, 1990.

[2]As of November 30, 1992.

[3]Revaluation.	
1992 Full Valuation:	\$720,970,910
1992 Equalization Rate:	65.5%
Average Annual Growth F.V., 1987-92:	21.6%
1992 F.V. per Capita:	\$65,662

Largest Taxpayers	Business	1992 A.V. (\$000)
Connecticut Light & Power S & S Leather Strauss Associates City of Norwich Julius Balaban Edward Sharr, Sr. REB Industries Linda Foley	Utility Arts and crafts manufacturer Convalescent home Municipal government (water properties) Apartment and real estate Shopping plaza Manufacturing plastic goods Convalescent home	\$3,522 3,451 2,787 1,842 1,741 1,345 1,289 1,263
	ECONOMIC FACTORS	

Population: Colchester (Town)

Year	Population	Change
1960	4,648	-
1970	6,603	42.1
1980	7,761	17.5
1990	10,980	41.5

Source: U.S. Census Bureau.

Location: 25 miles southeast of Hartford; bordered by Marlborough, Hebron, East Haddam, Salem, Lebanon and East Hampton.

Area:	46.70 square miles
Population Density:	235 per square mile

Per Capita Income

8

8

Colchester	\$7,271	\$14,339	97.2
New London County	7,307	13,666	87.0
State of Connecticut	8,511	16,094	89.1
Colchester as % of State	85.4	89.1	-

Population and Housing Characteristics: Colchester

	1980	1990	Norms 1980[1]		U.S 1990
Population:					
Median age	32.6	34.5	31.9	34.4	32.9
% school age	19.2	14.7	21.5	15.9	18.2
% working age	61.8	62.7	61.4	63.6	61.7
% 65 and over	13.1	15.7	11.0	13.6	12.6
No. persons/household	2.6	2.4	2.9	2.6	2.63
Income:					
Median family income	\$22,518	\$49 <b>,</b> 755	\$24,422	\$49,199	\$35 <b>,</b> 225
% below poverty level	4.2	4.2	6.0	6.8	13.1
Per capita income	\$8,537	\$17 <b>,</b> 143	\$8,289	\$20 <b>,</b> 189	\$14,420
Housing:					
% owner occupied	58.9	59.5	71.6	65.6	64.2
% built before 1939	29.6	13.5	30.9	25.5	18.4
% built since last census	17.0	36.4	21.1	15.7	20.7
Owner occupied median					
value	\$63,800	\$151 <b>,</b> 000	\$62,041	\$177 <b>,</b> 800	\$79 <b>,</b> 100
Median gross rent	\$301	\$617	\$292	\$598	\$447
Occupied housing units	18,459	20,745	-	-	-

Source: U.S. Census Bureau.

[1]Norms are for all towns with population greater than 10,000 in the New England Region.

------

Labor Market Characteristics: Manchester Town

			% Unemployed		
Year	Labor Force	Total Employment	Town	State	U.S.
1981 1982 1983 1984 1985 1986 1987 1988 1989 1990[1]	26,823 26,963 27,131 26,683 28,716 28,926 29,734 28,985 29,391 30,041	25,354 25,248 25,552 25,489 27,381 28,070 28,896 28,238 28,523 28,714	5.5 6.4 5.8 4.5 4.6 3.0 2.8 2.6 3.0 4.4	6.2 6.9 6.0 4.6 4.9 3.8 3.3 3.0 3.7 5.1	7.6 9.7 9.6 7.5 7.2 7.0 6.2 5.5 5.3 5.3 5.5
1991 9/91[2] 9/92[2]	30,170 30,213 29,808	28,270 28,123 27,543	6.3 6.9 7.6	6.7 7.0 7.1	6.7 6.4 7.2

Source: Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics.

[1]Base year of current benchmark. Data for preceding years may not be consistent.

[2]Monthly data not seasonally adjusted.

-----

Largest Employers

	Employees 1992		Employees 1992
Town of Colchester	305	Colchester Health Care	125
S & S Worldwide	200	Liberty Hall Nursing	
Tri-Town Food, Inc.	175	Center	80
		Alpha-Q	75

Source: Official statement.

----- FINANCIAL FACTORS ------

Operating Funds Financial Performance (fiscal years ended 6/30 \$ 000)[1]

Expenditures       13,009       15,610       18,221       18,741         Operating surplus (deficit)[2]       306       (176)       (763)       615         [1]General and Debt Service Funds (modified accrual method of accounting).       [2]General Fund only.       [3]From draft of 1992 audit.         [3]From draft of 1992 audit.		1989	1990	1991	1992[3]	% Change 1991-92
<pre>[2]General Fund only. [3]From draft of 1992 audit. 1991 Sources of Revenue Property taxes State aid Local revenues Investment income 1991 Items of Expenditure Education Debt service Public works Health and welfare General Government Public safety General Fund Financial Position (fiscal years ended 6/30 \$ 000) 1989 1990 1991 Cash and investments \$1,584 \$1,565 \$ 501 Operating Loans Other current liabilities[1] 621 824 818</pre>	Expenditures	13,009	15,610	18,221	18,741	2.9
[3]From draft of 1992 audit.         1991 Sources of         Revenue	[1]General and Debt Service Fun	ds (modifi	ed accrua.	l method c	of account:	ing).
1991 Sources of Revenue Property taxes State aid Local revenues Investment income 1991 Items of Expenditure Education Debt service Public works Health and welfare General government Public safety General Fund Financial Position (fiscal years ended 6/30 \$ 000) Dette service 1989 1990 1991 Cash and investments \$1,584 \$1,585 \$501 Coperating loans Other current liabilities[1] 621 824 818	[2]General Fund only.					
Revenue Property taxes State aid Local revenues Investment income  1991 Items of Expenditure						
Property taxes State aid Local revenues Investment income 1991 Items of Expenditure 	Revenue					Ŷ
Expenditure Education Debt service Public works Health and welfare General government Public safety General Fund Financial Position (fiscal years ended 6/30 \$ 000) 1989 1990 1991 Cash and investments \$1,584 \$1,565 \$ 501 Cash and investments \$1,584 \$1,565 \$ 501 Operating loans Other current liabilities[1] 621 824 818	Property taxes State aid Local revenues					53.7 39.4 4.4 0.7
Education Debt service Public works Health and welfare General government Public safety General Fund Financial Position (fiscal years ended 6/30 \$ 000) 1989 1990 1991 Cash and investments \$1,584 \$1,565 \$ 501 Operating loans Other current liabilities[1] 621 824 818	Expenditure					<i>ბ</i> ე
D: 1989 1990 1991 2 Cash and investments \$1,584 \$1,565 \$ 501 2 Operating loans Other current liabilities[1] 621 824 818	Education Debt service Public works Health and welfare General government					62.6 12.7 5.3 5.1 4.5 2.2
1989         1990         1991 <th< td=""><td>General Fund Financial Position</td><td>(fiscal y</td><td>vears ende</td><td>d 6/30 \$ 0</td><td>)00)</td><td></td></th<>	General Fund Financial Position	(fiscal y	vears ende	d 6/30 \$ 0	)00)	
Cash and investments     \$1,584     \$1,565     \$ 501     \$       Operating loans     -     -     -       Other current liabilities[1]     621     824     818		1	.989	1990	1991	Draft 1992
Other current liabilities[1] 621 824 818						\$484 \$
	1 2		621	824	818	434

 Year-end cash surplus (deficit)
 \$ 963
 \$ 741
 \$ (317)

 Receivables[1]
 \$ 146
 \$ 192
 \$ 487

 Fund balance
 \$1,109
 \$ 932
 \$ 169

 Undesignated fund balance
 909
 732
 169

[1]Net of deferred revenues.

2081I01

\$ 50 \$735 \$784 784

8

## MOODY'S INVESTORS SERVICE

© 2011 Moody's Investors Service, Inc. and/or its licensors and affiliates (collectively, "MOODY'S"). All rights reserved.

CREDIT RATINGS ARE MOODY'S INVESTORS SERVICE, INC.'S ("MIS") CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES. MIS DEFINES CREDIT RISK AS THE RISK THAT AN ENTITY MAY NOT MEET ITS CONTRACTUAL, FINANCIAL OBLIGATIONS AS THEY COME DUE AND ANY ESTIMATED FINANCIAL LOSS IN THE EVENT OF DEFAULT. CREDIT RATINGS DO NOT ADDRESS ANY OTHER RISK, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO: LIQUIDITY RISK, MARKET VALUE RISK, OR PRICE VOLATILITY. CREDIT RATINGS ARE NOT STATEMENTS OF CURRENT OR HISTORICAL FACT. CREDIT RATINGS DO NOT CONSTITUTE INVESTMENT OR FINANCIAL ADVICE, AND CREDIT RATINGS ARE NOT RECOMMENDATIONS TO PURCHASE, SELL, OR HOLD PARTICULAR SECURITIES. CREDIT RATINGS DO NOT COMMENT ON THE SUITABILITY OF AN INVESTMENT FOR ANY PARTICULAR INVESTOR. MIS ISSUES ITS CREDIT RATINGS WITH THE EXPECTATION AND UNDERSTANDING THAT EACH INVESTOR WILL MAKE ITS OWN STUDY AND EVALUATION OF EACH SECURITY THAT IS UNDER CONSIDERATION FOR PURCHASE, HOLDING, OR SALE.

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS PROTECTED BY LAW. INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO. COPYRIGHT LAW, AND NONE OF SUCH INFORMATION MAY BE COPIED OR OTHERWISE REPRODUCED, REPACKAGED, FURTHER TRANSMITTED, TRANSFERRED, DISSEMINATED, REDISTRIBUTED OR RESOLD, OR STORED FOR SUBSEQUENT USE FOR ANY SUCH PURPOSE, IN WHOLE OR IN PART, IN ANY FORM OR MANNER OR BY ANY MEANS WHATSOEVER, BY ANY PERSON WITHOUT MOODY'S PRIOR WRITTEN CONSENT. All information contained herein is obtained by MOODY'S from sources believed by it to be accurate and reliable. Because of the possibility of human or mechanical error as well as other factors, however, all information contained herein is provided "AS IS" without warranty of any kind. MOODY'S adopts all necessary measures so that the information it uses in assigning a credit rating is of sufficient quality and from sources Moody's considers to be reliable, including, when appropriate, independent third-party sources. However, MOODY'S is not an auditor and cannot in every instance independently verify or validate information received in the rating process. Under no circumstances shall MOODYS have any liability to any person or entity for (a) any loss or damage in whole or in part caused by, resulting from, or relating to, any error (negligent or otherwise) or other circumstance or contingency within or outside the control of MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees or agents in connection with the procurement, collection, compilation, analysis, interpretation, communication, publication or delivery of any such information, or (b) any direct, indirect, special, consequential, compensatory or incidental damages whatsoever (including without limitation, lost profits), even if MOODY'S is advised in advance of the possibility of such damages, resulting from the use of or inability to use, any such information. The ratings, financial reporting analysis, projections, and other observations, if any, constituting part of the information contained herein are, and must be construed solely as, statements of opinion and not statements of fact or recommendations to purchase, sell or hold any securities. Each user of the information contained herein must make its own study and evaluation of each security it may consider purchasing, holding or selling. NO WARRANTY, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, AS TO THE ACCURACY. TIMELINESS, COMPLETENESS, MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR ANY PARTICULAR PURPOSE OF ANY SUCH RATING OR OTHER OPINION OR INFORMATION IS GIVEN OR MADE BY MOODY'S IN ANY FORM OR MANNER WHATSOEVER.

MIS, a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of Moody's Corporation ("MCO"), hereby discloses that most issuers of debt securities (including corporate and municipal bonds, debentures, notes and commercial paper) and preferred stock rated by MIS have, prior to assignment of any rating, agreed to pay to MIS for appraisal and rating services rendered by it fees ranging from \$1,500 to approximately \$2,500,000. MCO and MIS also maintain policies and procedures to address the independence of MIS's ratings and rating processes. Information regarding certain affiliations that may exist between directors of MCO and rated entities, and between entities who hold ratings from MIS and have also publicly reported to the SEC an ownership interest in MCO of more than 5%, is posted annually at <a href="https://www.moodys.com">www.moodys.com</a> under the heading "Shareholder Relations — Corporate Governance — Director and Shareholder Affiliation Policy."

Any publication into Australia of this document is by MOODY'S affiliate, Moody's Investors Service Pty Limited ABN 61 003 399 657, which holds Australian Financial Services License no. 336969. This document is intended to be provided only to "wholesale clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. By continuing to access this document from within Australia, you represent to MOODY'S that you are, or are accessing the document as a representative of, a "wholesale client" and that neither you nor the entity you represent will directly or indirectly disseminate this document or its contents to "retail clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001.

Notwithstanding the foregoing, credit ratings assigned on and after October 1, 2010 by Moody's Japan K.K. ("MJKK") are MJKK's current opinions of the relative future credit risk of entities, credit commitments, or debt or debt-like securities. In such a case, "MIS" in the foregoing statements shall be deemed to be replaced with "MJKK". MJKK is a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of Moody's Group Japan G.K., which is wholly owned by Moody's Overseas Holdings Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary of MCO.

This credit rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness or a debt obligation of the issuer, not on the equity securities of the issuer or any form of security that is available to retail investors. It would be dangerous for retail investors to make any investment decision based on this credit rating. If in doubt you should contact your financial or other professional adviser.